Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 272 (1984) 73-80 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

#### **ISOCYANIDE AND HETEROALLENE BRIDGED METAL COMPLEXES**

# VII \*. FURTHER REACTIONS OF $[Fe(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2CS_2]^-$ WITH METAL COMPOUNDS

#### H. STOLZENBERG, W.P. FEHLHAMMER\*,

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie der Freien Universität Berlin, Fabeckstrasse 34-36, D-1000 Berlin 33 (F.R.G.)

M. MONARI, V. ZANOTTI and L. BUSETTO\*

Facoltà di Chimica Industriale, Viale del Risorgimento 4, 40136 Bologna (Italy)

(Received March 28th, 1984)

#### Summary

Displacement by  $[FpCS_2]^-$  (Fp = Fe( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>) of the halide in EClMe<sub>3</sub> (E = Si, Sn) and Ru( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(I)(CO)<sub>2</sub> affords the new ferriodithiocarboxylato complexes Me<sub>3</sub>ES(S)CFp (**2a**, **2b**) and ( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>RuS(S)CFp (**6**). The latter and its congeners FpC(S)SML<sub>n</sub> (ML<sub>n</sub> = Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>, Fp) are alkylated at the thione-S to give the salt-like compounds [FpC(SR)SML<sub>n</sub>]CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (R = Me, Et) (**7**) in high yield. FpC(S)SMe acts as a strong S donor ligand towards the neutral metal carbonyl fragments M(CO)<sub>5</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W) and Mn( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)(CO)<sub>2</sub> (X = H, Me) but with Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>, only the cluster MeSCCo<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> (**14**) is obtained.

Successive combination of the three components basic metal,  $CS_2$  and Lewis-acidic metal has provided a series of stable di- and less stable tri-nuclear complexes with carbon disulfide bridges of the type 1 [1-3].

In addition a few CS<sub>2</sub> complexes with  $\mu_2: \eta(C,S)$  and  $\mu_3: \eta(C,S,S')$  bonding modes, mainly involving the Fp system \*\*, have been reported [4–9]. Some addi-

\*\*  $Fp = Fe(\eta - C_5H_5)(CO)_2$ .

<sup>\*</sup> For the previous paper in this series see ref. 23.

tional examples as well as reactions of FpC(S)SMe with metal carbonyls, are described below.

# 1. Reactions of $[FpCS_2]^-$ with metal compounds

In a search for a storeable equivalent of the  $[FpCS_2]^-$  anion we synthesized the trimethyl-silyl and -stannyl esters of the ferriodithiocarbonic acid 2 according to eq. 1.

$$[Fp] = \frac{(i) + CS_2}{(i_1) + CIMMe_3} = Fp - C = S - MMe_3$$
(1)  
(2a M = S1,  
2b. M = Sn)

However, these compounds turned out to be thermally labile and very sensitive to moisture, which brings out decomposition which finally gives the known complex 4 (eq. 2).

$$3a \xrightarrow{H_2O} F_p - C \xrightarrow{S} \xrightarrow{H_2O} F_p - C \xrightarrow{S} - F_p + H_2S + \cdots$$
(2)  
(3) (4)

The suggested intermediate 3 has been prepared in our group by the direct approach  $\{Fp^{-}/CS_{2}/H^{+}\}$  [10].

Only two metal complexes containing monodentate ferriodithiocarboxylato ligands (viz. 4, 5) have been previously reported [3,5,7]. Addition of  $\text{Ru}(\eta-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{I}(\text{CO})_2$  to [FpCS<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> has now given the ruthenium analogue of 4, viz. 6, which like 5 is more labile than the homodinuclear 4, and so must be isolated rapidly to prevent its decomposition in solution; as for 5 this decomposition may involve initial loss of CO [3].

$$F_{p} - C < S = R_{e}(CO)_{5} \qquad F_{p} - C < S = R_{u}(\eta - C_{5}H_{5})(CO)_{2}$$
(5) (6)

The thione-sulfur function in ferriodithiocarboxylatometal complexes represents a center of low basicity, which is capable of reacting with electrophiles, such as H<sup>+</sup> [10], Me<sup>+</sup> [3,7], CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sup>+</sup> [11] and L<sub>n</sub>M<sup>m+</sup> [8,9]. Thus, complexes 4, 5 and 6 are readily alkylated by ethyl- or methyl-trifluoromethane sulfonate in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to give the yellow, stable dithiocarbene triflate (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) salts 7a-7e in excellent yield:



$$(ML_n = Fp; R = Me (7a, 86\%), R = Et (7b, 87\%)$$
  
 $ML_n = Re(CO)_5, R = Me (7c, 78\%), R = Et (7d, 73\%)$   
 $ML_n = Ru(n - C_5H_5)(CO)_2, R = Me (7e, 83\%))$ 

The significant increase in yield above that obtained in the previously reported route to  $[FpC(SMe)SRe(CO)_5]PF_6$  [3] may be largely due to the omission of the anion-exchange step. Complex 7a was also prepared from  $[FpC(SH)SFp]SO_3CF_3$  and diazomethane (eq. 3).

$$4 \xrightarrow{CF_3SO_2OH} \left[F_p - C \xrightarrow{SH}_{SFp}\right]^+ \xrightarrow{+ CH_2N_2} 7a \quad (3)$$

The yield was quantitative, confirming our observations that type 7 complexes do not react with diazomethane [11], and in contrast with recent findings on the reaction of the related thiocarbene [FpC(SMe)H]SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> with  $CH_2N_2$  which gives a vinyl sulfide complex [12].

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra at room temperature (Table 1) indicate that the complexes 7 either undergo rapid syn-anti isomerization or are present as only one conformational isomer, as observed for  $[FpC(SMe)SRe(CO)_5]^+$  (7c) [3]. In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 7 the carbene carbon resonances appear in the range 313-323 ppm downfield from SiMe<sub>4</sub>, which agrees well with data for  $[FpC(SMe)XPh]^+$  (8) (X = S, 309; X = Se, 321 ppm) [13] indicating analogous bonding situations in both dithiocarbene systems. The higher energy barrier to rotation about the C::SML<sub>n</sub> bond compared with that in 8 (coalescence at  $\approx 30 \,^{\circ}$ C) or  $[FpC(SMe)_2]^+$  (coalescence at  $-2.5 \,^{\circ}$ C) [13], revealed by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 7c may if steric effects are ruled out, be attributed to better L<sub>n</sub>MS  $\rightarrow$  C(carbene)  $\pi$ -donation with respect to that of XPh or SMe.

Reactions of the most stable metallodithioestermetal 4 with other Lewis acids such as  $BF_3$ ,  $AlCl_3$  and  $HgX_2$  (X = Cl, Br, I) also proceed with formation of S-adducts as shown by their separation from the reaction solution or by the IR spectra of the solutions; no stable ( $BF_3$ ,  $AlCl_3$ ) or pure ( $HgX_2$ ) products could be isolated, however.

#### 2. Reactions of FpC(S)SMe with metal carbonyls

It is known, that molecules possessing a >C=S function can be stabilized by coordination to a pentacarbonylmetal (Group VIA) fragment [14], and the orange complexes 10 obtained by reaction of FpC(S)SMe (9) with photo-generated M(CO)<sub>5</sub>THF (M = Cr, Mo, W) are thermally more stable (dec. 86-120 °C) than the precursor 9 (dec. 72 °C).

$$F_{P} - C = S - M(CO)_{5}$$
  
 $F_{P} - C = S - Me$   
(10a, M = Cr; (11)  
10b, M = Mo;  
10c, M = W)

Complex 11 which is a "coordination isomer" of 10c has recently been obtained by treatment of W(CO)<sub>5</sub>CS with (i) SMe<sup>-</sup> and (ii) Fp<sup>+</sup> [15]. Like 4 and 5 [8,9], 9 reacts with other 16-electron metal fragments such as Mn( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)(CO)<sub>2</sub> to form the red-brown derivatives FpC(SMe)SMn( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)(CO)<sub>2</sub> (12a, X = H; 12b, X = Me). All members of the two series of adducts (10, 12) are air stable as solids but

	r(CO)	v(CS)	Chemical shift §
	[CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ]	[KBr]	
[FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SFp]SO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> (7a)	2047sh,2041vs,2003vs	925s,812m,755m, 710w	5.51,5.43(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),3.35(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>
[FpC(SC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )SFp]SO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> (7b)	2047sh,2042vs,2002vs	927s,830m,750m, 715w	5.58, 5.51(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),4.00(q,CH <sub>2</sub> ),1.58(t,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>
[FpC(SCH3)SRa(CO)5]SO3CF3 (7e)	2142m,2085w,2039vs,2008s	927s,825m,749m	5.57(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),3.49(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>h</sup>
[FpC(SC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )SRe(C0) <sub>5</sub> ]SO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> (7d)	2142m,2085w,2040s,2010s	925s,830m,750m	$5.53(s,C_5H_5),4.02(q,CH_2)1.55(t,CH_3)^{b}$
[FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SRu(CO) <sub>2</sub> (η-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )]SO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> (7e)	2050vs,2044sh,2002vs	924s,815m,752m, 709w	5.67, 5.28(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),3.30(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>
[FpC(SH)SFp]SO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	2048sh,2042vs,2005vs	915s,780m,760m, 720w;2498m ⊮(SH)	5.55,5.63(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sup>6</sup>
FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SCr(CO) <sub>5</sub> <sup>d</sup> (10a)	2057m,2035vs,1991vs, 1980sh,1930vs,1901sh	927ms,798m,748m	5.09(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),2.90(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>
FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SMo(CO) <sub>5</sub> <sup>d</sup> (10b)	2061 m, 2035 vs, 1993 vs, 1928 vs, 1897 sh	925s,798m °	5.12(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),2.91(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>
FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SW(CO) <sub>5</sub> <sup>d</sup> (10c)	2061m,2031vs,1997vs, 1978sh,1927vs,1896sh	923s,803m °	5.20(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),2.93(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>4</sup>
$FpC(SCH_3)SMn(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)^d$ (12a)	2031s,1983s, <i>1923s,1863s<sup>1</sup></i>	930s,803m °	5.01.4.53(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),2.81(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>
FpC(SCH <sub>3</sub> )SMn(CO) <sub>2</sub> (7-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>d</sup> (12b)	2030s,1982s, <i>1919s,1857s1</i>	923s,808m °	5.02(s,C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ),4.40(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ),2.83,1.87(s,CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>

TABLE 1 SELECTED IR " (cm<sup>-1</sup>) AND <sup>1</sup>H NMR (ppm) DATA

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$   $\nu(CF_{3})$ ,  $\nu(SO_{3})$  for cationic complexes at 1270vs, 1225m, 1150s, 1030s, 633s.  $^{h}$  In acetone- $d_{6}$ .  $^{c}$  In CDCI<sub>3</sub>.  $^{d}$  Bands due to  $M(CO)_{5}$  or  $Mn(CO)_{2}$ ( $\eta$ - $C_{5}H_{4}X$ ) are in italics.  $^{e}$  Nujol mull.  $^{f}$  Cyclohexane solvent.

completely decompose in solution at room temperature within one day to form **9** and  $M(CO)_6$  or  $Mn(\eta-C_5H_4X)(CO)_3$ , respectively. This instability resembles that of the analogous  $\mu_3$ -CS<sub>2</sub> derivatives FpC(SFp)SM(CO)<sub>5</sub>, which also decompose in solution without desulfurization [9].

Spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR) for the heterodinuclear methyldithiocarboxylato-bridged complexes 10 and 12 are given in Table 1. The stretching vibrations of the iron-bonded carbonyl groups are slightly shifted to higher wavenumbers compared with those of the precursor 9 reflecting an increased positive charge on the iron atom. Consistently, the  $\nu$ (CO) band positions and intensity pattern of the M(CO)<sub>5</sub> and Mn( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)(CO)<sub>2</sub> parts correspond well to those of other  $C=S-ML_n$  species [9,14,17–19], in which a considerable amount of electron density is forced upon the metal. The existence of a strong S  $\rightarrow$  ML<sub>n</sub> interaction in type 10 and 12 complexes is further borne out by the  $\nu$ (CS) IR-frequencies and  $\Delta\nu$ (CS) values ( $\Delta\nu$ (CS) =  $\nu_{as}$ (CS<sub>2</sub>) -  $\nu_{s}$ (CS<sub>2</sub>)) [16] (Table 1), which are remarkably similar to those of dithiocarbene complexes, e.g. [FpC(SMe)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, again emphasizing the close relationship between metallated and alkylated systems.

To examine its potentially bidentate nature, 9 was also treated with  $Mo(CO)_4(\eta^4 - C_7H_8)$ . Again, however the pentacarbonyl species 10b was isolated as the only product, indicating the inability of the SMe group to take part in metal complexation.

It had been shown, that dithioesters RC(S)SR' react with dicobalt octacarbonyl with formation of cluster compounds of the type  $Co_3(CO)_9 \equiv CR$  [20]; by analogy, 9 should give the carbido cluster 13.



The analytical and spectroscopic data for the resulting black-violet product indicate, however, that instead of FpC, the MeSC fragment is located on top of the cobalt triangle. Other alkyl derivatives of 14 were previously prepared by Seyferth et al. [21], though in lower yield and by a different route.

# Experimental

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques under pure dinitrogen. Solvents were dried by standard methods, and degassed and distilled before use; spectroscopic measurements used the following instrumentation: IR: Zeiss IMR-25 or Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrophotometers, <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Varian XL 100, <sup>1</sup>H NMR: JEOL JNM-PMX-60, MS: Varian MAT 212 (70 eV). Melting points were determined with a Büchi or a Kofler hot stage microscope and are uncorrected.

#### Preparation of $FpC(S)SSiMe_3$ (2a)

 $CS_2$  (0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>, 10 mmol) was added dropwise by syringe to a solution of  $K_xNa_{1-x}$ [Fp] [5] (ca. 8 mmol) in 100 cm<sup>3</sup> THF at -60 °C. After 1 min neat SiClMe<sub>3</sub> (1.2 cm<sup>3</sup>, 8 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued for 20 min. The mixture

was then allowed to warm to 0 °C and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was extracted with light petroleum (150 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the filtrated extract was concentrated. Cooling to -40 °C gave red-brown very hygroscopic crystals of **2a** (1.88 g; 72%). M.p.: 45 °C (dec.). Found: C, 40.73; H, 4.80. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FeO<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Si calcd.: C, 40.49; H, 4.32%. MS:  $m/e = 326 [M]^+$ , 298  $[M - CO]^+$ , 270  $[M - (CO)_2]^+$ , IR(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2028vs, 1978vs, [ $\nu$ (CO)]; (KBr): 2950w, 2890w [ $\nu$ (Me)], 1235m [ $\delta$ (SiMe<sub>3</sub>)], 1010s, 708m-s [ $\nu$ (CS)], 743w [ $\rho$ (SiMe<sub>3</sub>)], 690m [ $\nu_{as}$ (SiC<sub>3</sub>)] cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Preparation of $FpC(S)SSnMe_{3}$ (2b)

This compound was prepared by a route analogous to that used for **2a**; CS<sub>2</sub> (0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>, 10 mmol),  $K_x Na_{1-x}$  [Fp] (ca. 7 mmol), and SnClMe<sub>3</sub> (1.59 g, 8.0 mmol) gave brown needles of **2b** (2.94 g, 88%). M.p. 75 °C (dec.). Found: C, 32.27; H, 3.47. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FeO<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Sn calcd.: C, 31.69; H, 3.38%. MS: m/e (<sup>120</sup>Sn) = 418 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 403 [M - Me]<sup>+</sup>, 390 [M - CO]<sup>+</sup>, 362 [ $M - (CO)_2$ ]<sup>+</sup>, IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2020vs, 1978vs [ $\nu$ (CO)]; (KBr): 2980w, 2910w [ $\nu$ (Me)], 1183w, 1174w [ $\delta$ (SnMe<sub>3</sub>)], 998vs, 728s [ $\nu$ (CS)], 770m [ $\rho$ (SnMe<sub>3</sub>)] cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Preparation of $FpC(S)SRu(\eta - C_5H_5)(CO)_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (6)

To a solution of  $K_x Na_{1-x}[Fp]$  (4 mmol) in 50 cm<sup>3</sup> tetrahydrofuran at  $-60 \,^{\circ}C$  was added CS<sub>2</sub> (0.3 cm<sup>3</sup>, 5 mmol), followed by 1.4 g (4 mmol) of Ru( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)I(CO)<sub>2</sub>. Stirring was continued for 30 min as the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was then removed, and the residue extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 cm<sup>3</sup>). After filtration through Florisil the red solution was concentrated, and the orange product precipitated by addition of n-hexane and cooling to  $-40 \,^{\circ}C$ . The crude product always contained traces of Fp<sub>2</sub> and FpRu( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>, which were removed by repeated recrystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/n-hexane. Yield: 1.41 g (77%). M.p.: 85 °C (dec.). Found: C, 34.18; H, 2.18. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>10</sub>FeO<sub>4</sub>RuS<sub>2</sub> · CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> calcd.: C, 34.30; H, 2.16%; mol.wt. (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): found 521, calcd.: 560.22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.40(s,Cp), 5.32(s,CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), 4.88 (s,Cp). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2035vs, 2023vs, 1987vs, 1975sh [ $\nu$ (CO)]; (KBr): 1010s, 745m [ $\nu$ (CS)] cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Preparation of $[FpC(SR)SML_n]SO_3CF_3$ (7a-7e)

Stoichiometric amounts of CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>OR (R = Me, Et) were added by syringe to a dichloromethane solution of 4, 5 or 6 at 0°C. The mixture immediately turned brown, and sometimes a precipitate formed. After 10–20 min of stirring at room temperature the solvent was removed and the residue washed 3 times with Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 cm<sup>3</sup>). Crystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O (1/1) at -20°C afforded yellow crystalline products.

**7a** (R = Me, ML<sub>n</sub> = Fp). M.p. 140 °C (dec.). Found: C, 33.79; H, 2.07; S, 16.02.  $C_{17}H_{13}F_3Fe_2O_7S_3$  calcd.: C, 34.36; H, 2.20; S, 16.19%.  $\Lambda_m$  (acetone): 140  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  29.3 (Me), 88.3, 89.0 ( $C_5H_5$ ), 211.5, 211.8 (CO), 315.4 (C-carbene).

**7b** (R = Et, ML<sub>n</sub> = Fp). M.p. 137 °C (dec.). Found: C, 35.47; H, 2.64; S, 15.80.  $C_{18}H_{15}F_3Fe_2O_7S_3$  calcd.: C, 35.32; H, 2.50; S, 15.82%.  $\Lambda_m$  (acetone): 130  $\Omega^{-1}$ mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  13.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 41.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 88.4, 89.1 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 211.6, 211.8 (CO), 315.5 (C-carbene).

**7c** (R = Me, ML<sub>n</sub> = Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>). M.p. 122°C (dec). Found: C, 24.09; H, 1.24; S, 13.09.  $C_{15}H_8F_3FeO_{10}ReS_3$  calcd.: C, 24.23; H, 1.08; S, 12.94%.  $\Lambda_m$  (acetone): 141

 $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  89.3 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 211.8 (Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>), 187.1 (*cis*-Re(CO)<sub>4</sub>), 206.8 (*trans*-Re(CO)), 321.4 (C-carbene).

7d (R = Et, ML<sub>n</sub> = Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>). M.p. 116 °C (dec.). Found: C, 25.31; H, 1.40; S, 12.76.  $C_{16}H_{10}F_3FeO_{10}ReS_3$  calcd.: C, 25.35; H, 1.32; S, 12.70%.  $\Lambda_m$  (acetone): 132  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR(acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  18.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 89.1 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 211.8 (Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>), 187.6(*cis*-Re(CO)<sub>4</sub>), 206.1 (*trans*-Re(CO)), 323.5 (C-carbene).

7e (R = Me, ML<sub>n</sub> = Ru( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>). M.p. 134°C (dec.). Found: C, 31.68; H, 1.99; S, 15.00; Fe, 8.61. C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>3</sub>FeO<sub>7</sub>RuS<sub>3</sub> calcd.: C, 31.93; H, 2.05; S, 15.04; Fe, 8.73%. A<sub>m</sub> (acetone, 18°C): 146  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>.

# Preparation of $[FpC(SMe)SFp]SO_3CF_3$ (7a)

When stoichiometric amounts of  $CF_3SO_2OH$  were added dropwise at room temperature to a stirred ether solution of 4, the yellow  $[FpC(SH)SFp]SO_3CF_3$ separated immediately. After 10 min of stirring the solvent was removed and the resulting dithiocarbene was washed several times with  $Et_2O$  (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) then dried under vacuum. The solid was then dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  and treated dropwise with anhydrous  $CH_2N_2/Et_2O$  until the evolution of  $N_2$  ceased. The resulting orange solution was stirred for 15 min, then the solvent was removed and the residue was washed 3 times with  $Et_2O$  (10 cm<sup>3</sup>). Crystallization from  $CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O$  (1/1) at -20 °C gave the title complex in 85% yield.

## Preparation of FpC(SMe)SM(CO)<sub>5</sub> (10a-10c)

To solutions of  $M(CO)_5$ THF (M = Cr, Mo, W), prepared by irradiation of  $M(CO)_6$  (1.5 mmol) in 250 cm<sup>3</sup> of THF, was added 0.35 g (1.3 mmol) of 9 [22]. The reddish-brown solutions were stirred for 1-2 h at room temperature in the dark. The solvent was then removed, and the residue was dried for 2-5 h under high vacuum. The deep orange solids were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the solutions were filtered through Florisil. After addition of 5 cm<sup>3</sup> n-hexane, the solutions were slowly evaporated to give microcrystalline, orange powders.

**10a** (M = Cr). Yield: 77%. M.p. 93–98°C (dec.). Found: C, 36.40; H, 1.88; S, 13.70.  $C_{14}H_8CrFeO_7S_2$  calcd.: C, 36.54; H, 1.75; S, 13.98%; mol.wt. (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): found 467; calcd. 469.19.

**10b** (M = Mo). Yield: 48%. M.p. 96–101°C (dec.). Found: C, 33.12; H, 1.81; S, 12.52.  $C_{14}H_8FeMoO_7S_2$  calcd.: C, 33.35; H, 1.60; S, 12.72%.

**10c** (M = W). Yield: 82%. M.p. 118-122°C (dec.). Found: C, 28.15; H, 1.51; S, 10.70.  $C_{14}H_8FeO_7S_2W$  calcd.: C, 28.40; H, 1.36; S, 10.38%.

# Preparation of $FpC(SMe)SMn(\eta-C_5H_4X)(CO)_2$ (12a-12b)

To solutions of  $Mn(\eta-C_5H_4X)(CO)_2THF$  (X = H, Me), prepared by irradiation of  $Mn(\eta-C_5H_4X)(CO)_3$  (0.5 mmol) in 35 cm<sup>3</sup> of THF, was added 9 [22] (0.08 g, 0.3 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the solvent removed under vacuum, and the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column. Elution with light petroleum/Et<sub>2</sub>O (5/1) gave initially two fractions which contained unreacted starting materials. The red-brown solids obtained from the third fractions were crystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexane at -20 °C to give the title complexes.

**12a** (X = H). Yield: 43%. M.p. 115–116 °C (dec.). Found: C, 43.21; H. 3.03; S, 14.39.  $C_{17}H_{14}FeMnO_4S_2$  calcd.: C, 43.26; H, 2.95; S, 14.44%.

**12b** (X = Me). Yield: 52%. M.p. 96–97 °C (dec.). Found: C, 44.35; H, 3.39; S, 13.71.  $C_{17}H_{15}FeMnO_2S_2$  calcd.: C, 44.56; H, 3.30; S, 13.99%.

# Preparation of MeS-C= $Co_3(CO)_9$ (14)

Etheral solutions of 2.15 g (6.3 mmol) of  $Co_2(CO)_8$  and 0.56 g (2.1 mmol) of **9** [22] were mixed. After the initial violent gas evolution (CO) had stopped (ca. 1 h), the mixture was evaporated to dryness and the resulting black residue was extracted with n-pentane. The extract was filtered and concentrated, then chromatographed on silica gel (15 × 3 cm). Elution with light petroleum/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8/1) gave a first fraction containing 0.79 g (77%) of **14**. M.p.: 72 °C (dec.). Found: C, 27.59; H, 0.57. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>S calcd.: C, 27.07; H, 0.62. MS:  $m/e = 488 [M]^+$ , 460, 432, 404, 376, 348, 320, 292, 264, 236 (basis peak)  $[M - (CO)_n, n = 1-9]^+$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 2.88 (s,Me). IR (n-pentane): 2102 m-s, 2055 vs, 2038 vs, 2020 m-s, 1985 m [ $\nu$ (CO)], (KBr): 928 m-s, 700 w [ $\nu$ (CS)] cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# References

- 1 W.P. Fehlhammer, A. Mayr and H. Stolzenberg, Angew. Chem., 91 (1979) 661; Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 18 (1979) 662.
- 2 W.P. Fehlhammer and H. Stolzenberg, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 44(1980)L151.
- 3 L. Busetto, A. Palazzi and M. Monari, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1982) 1631.
- 4 M.C. Baird, G. Hartwell and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967)2037.
- 5 J.E. Ellis, R.W. Fennell and E.A. Flom, Inorg. Chem., 15(1976)2031.
- 6 T.S. Cameron, P.A. Gardner and K.R. Grundy, J. Organomet. Chem. 212(1981)C19.
- 7 L. Busetto, A. Palazzi and M. Monari, J. Organomet. Chem., 228(1982)C19.
- 8 W.P. Fehlhammer and H. Stolzenberg, J. Organomet. Chem., 235(1982)C7.
- 9 L. Busetto, M. Monari, A. Palazzi, V. Albano and F. Demartin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1983) 1849.
- 10 W.P. Fehlhammer, A. Mayr and H. Stolzenberg, publication in preparation.
- 11 L. Busetto and V. Zanotti, publication in preparation.
- 12 Y.S. Yu and R.J. Angelici, Organometallics, 2(1983)1018.
- 13 F.B. McCormick and R.J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 20(1981)1111.
- 14 R.W. Gingerich and R.J. Angelici, J. Organomet. Chem., 132(1977)377.
- 15 R.A. Pickering and R.J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 20(1981)2977.
- 16 W.P. Fehlhammer and H. Stolzenberg, unpublished results.
- 17 E. Lindner and W. Nagel, Z. Naturforsch. B, 32(1977)1116.
- 18 A.R. Siedle, J. Organomet. Chem., 120(1976)369.
- 19 C. Moise, J. Organomet. Chem., 247(1983)27.
- 20 H. Patin, G. Mignani and M.-T. van Hulle, Tetrahedron Lett., 26(1979)2441.
- 21 D. Seyferth, J.S. Merola and D.H. Berry, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 458(1979) 267.
- 22 W.P. Fehlhammer, W.A. Herrmann and K. Ofele in G. Brauer, Handbuch der Praparativen Anorganischen Chemie, Vol. III, 3<sup>rd</sup> edit., p. 1992, F. Enke Verlag, Stuttgart, 1981.
- 23 H. Stolzenberg, W.P. Fehlhammer and P. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem., 246(1983)105.